




# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,385	02/10/2004	Raghavan Sudhakar	42339-199423	1132
26694	7590	10/19/2007		
VENABLE LLP P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			EXAMINER MAI, TAN V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

 <b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,385	SUDHAKAR, RAGHAVAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tan V. Mai	2193	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Tan V. Mai. (3) James R. Burdett.  
 (2) Amir Rohani. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives argue that the claims are NOT 101 issue. The examiner points out that the claims do NOT recite useful result(s) in practical application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

### Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/774,385-Conf. #1132 First Named Applicant: Raghavan Sudhakar  
Examiner: Tan V. Mai Art Unit: 2193 Status of Application: Non-Final Action mailed 08/28/2007  
Tentative Participants:  
(1) Tan V. Mai (2) Amir Rohani  
(3) James R. Burdett (4) \_\_\_\_\_  
Proposed Date of Interview: October 17, 2007 Proposed Time: 11:00 AM (AM)  
Type of Interview Requested:  
(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference  
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO  
If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

### Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>101</u>	<u>1, 3-12, 14, 18, 20</u>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

#### Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

The rejection is improper as apparatus claims are statutory subject matter per se.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

#### NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Steve Schway, # 47,070  
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner/SPE Signature

for: James R. Burdett  
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

31,594